IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2602 OF 2003

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.266 OF 2003

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Kumar Gangaram Chavan ...Respondent

.....

Mr.K.V.Saste for Applicant.

Mr.A.K.Singh for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

MARCH 21, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties.

2. Although this Revision Application is opposed on behalf of the Respondent, no reply has been filed on record to controvert the averments contained in the application for condonation of delay. Delay is only of 226 days and it is stated

in the Application that it was because of inadvertence and procedural matters. There is no reason to doubt the correctness of the assertion so made in the Application. That, in my view, is a sufficient cause.

3. Accordingly, Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

4. Revision Application be placed for admission in the week commencing from 28th March 2005.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.